



# United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/598,477	06/22/2000	Takayuki Nyu	NE-1005-ÚS/KM	5516	
21254	7590 05/14/2004		EXAMINER		
	MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC			TSEGAYE, SABA	
8321 OLD CC SUITE 200	OURTHOUSE ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
VIENNA, VA	A 22182-3817		2662	7	
			DATE MAILED: 05/14/2004	/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		. (	zeg
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
ì	09/598,477	NYU, TAKAYUKI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Saba Tsegaye	2662	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	l.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 /	<u> March 2004</u> .		
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☒ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	•	• •	'
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<u> </u>	n nciocity under 25 U.S.C. 1	C 110(a) (d) az (6)	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in A  Ority documents have been  au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage	
Attachmont/c)			
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview 5	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/598,477

Art Unit: 2662

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1, 2, 6,10, 12, 16, 17 and 24 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 4, 8, 9, 11, 18 and 20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,529,977. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1, 10, 12, 16, 17 and 24 of instant application merely broaden the scope of the claims 1, 4, 8, 9, 11, 18 and 20 of the Patent by eliminating the elements and their functions of the claims. It has been held that the omission an element and its function is an obvious expedient if the remaining elements perform the same function as before. *In re Karlson*, 136 USPQ 184 (CCPA). Also note *Ex parte Rainu*, 168 USPQ 375 (Bd.App.1969); omission of a reference element whose function is not needed would be obvious to one skilled in the art.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 21-23, in line 1, the phrase "said central circuitry" lacks antecedent basis.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bastiani et al. (US 6,609,167).

Bastiani discloses a host and device serial communication protocols and communication packet formats. Further, Bastiani discloses that the normal idle condition for the device receiver is looking for a packet from the host (claimed setting a state machine in a receive mode). A slave device sensing the end of the packet and wishing to send a response must wait 20 ns minimum (turnaround time) before a transmission. When a response is required from the device the line is turned around to the host and transmission initiated. The turnaround time is a time to allow the line to settle and to allow the host to enable its receiver (column 24, lines 19-27; column 42, lines 31-42). Further, Bastiani discloses that the ASP protocol uses a byte count to determine the end of the packet (as in claim 15) (column 24, lines 49-57).

#### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/598,477

Art Unit: 2662

#### Conclusion

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saba Tsegaye whose telephone number is (703) 308-4754. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5:00), First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on (703) 305-4744. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ST May 8, 2004

> JOHN PEZZLO PRIMARY EXAMINER